

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

FILED IN OPEN COURT
U.S.D.C. - Atlanta

JUN 04 2024

MATTHEW REED,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 1:21-cv-03563-WMR

KEVIN P. WEIMER, Clerk
By:  Deputy Clerk

BEKO TECHNOLOGIES CORP.,

Defendant.

JURY VERDICT

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

1. That Matthew Reed engaged in a protected activity?

Answer Yes or No YES

If your answer is "No," this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of the verdict form.

If your answer is "Yes," go to the next question.

2. That BEKO took an adverse employment action against Matthew Reed?

Answer Yes or No YES

If your answer is "No," this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of the verdict form.

If your answer is "Yes," go to the next question.

3. That BEKO took an adverse employment action because of Matthew Reed's protected activity?

Answer Yes or No

YES

If your answer is "No," this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of the verdict form.

If your answer is "Yes," go to the next question.

4. That Matthew Reed suffered damages because of the adverse employment action?

Answer Yes or No

YES

If your answer is "No," this ends your deliberations, and your foreperson should sign and date the last page of the verdict form.

If your answer is "Yes," go to the next questions.

5. We award damages of \$

84,724.46

6. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that BEKO acted with malice or reckless indifference to Matthew Reed's federally protected rights such that punitive damages should be assessed?

Answer Yes or No

YES

If your answer is "Yes," in what amount? \$

450,000.00

SO SAY WE ALL.

Michael Williams

Foreperson's Signature

DATE:

JUNE 4TH, 2024